REMARKS/ARGUMENTS:

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 12/11/2006. By said Action, Claims 10-13, 15, and 17-20 were allowed; Claims 2-5 and 26 were objected to; and, Claim 25 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen.

By this Amendment, Claim 25 has been amended. Claims 1, 7-9, 14, 16, and 21-24 have been previously canceled.

Reconsideration and allowance of amended independent Claim 25 is respectfully requested. Claim 25 has been amended to specify that two of the activity bays are positioned at respective positions at the seat back region of the chair frame and that the other two are positioned at respective positions at the front legs. This is believed to emphasize the distinction between the present invention and the Chen device. Chen utilizes a large and cumbersome base structure. Present applicant's device is easily utilized by a user making it particularly advantageous for use by elderly persons. There are none of the large bulky appendages inherent in the Chen device. The user simply picks up the chair and moves it to the desired location. The elements disclosed in Claim 25 are neither disclosed nor suggested by the prior art. In view of the foregoing Claim 25 is deemed to be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance of dependent claims 2-5 and 26 is respectfully requested. These claims depend ultimately from Claim 25 and include all of the limitations of independent Claim 25. They are therefore also deemed to be in condition for allowance.

Claims 10-13, 15 and 17-20 have been deemed allowed by the Examiner.

Claims 1, 6-9, 14, 16, and 21-24 have been previously canceled, without prejudice.

In view of the foregoing Amendment and remarks, it is respectfully urged that all pending claims are in condition for allowance, and such action as well as passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested.

Appl. No. 10/783,532 Reply to Office Action of DEC 11, 2006

Tel. - 949-640-6261

If the Examiner has any further questions, or believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to the advancement of the prosecution of the subject application, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,		
/Lawrence N. Ginsberg/	2/12/07	
LAWRENCE N. GINSBERG, Attorney for Applicant, Reg. No. 30,943	DATE	_
21 San Antonio Newport Beach, CA 92660-9112		